

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/719,676	11/21/2003	David Wiebe	1115-016/JRD	1307	
7590 08/23/2005			EXAMINER		
David Wiebe 203 - 2722 Fifth Street Victoria BC V8T 4B2,			LAU, TUNG S		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2863		
CANADA			DATE MAILED: 08/23/2005	DATE MAILED: 08/23/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Anti-us Commence	10/719,676	WIEBE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tung S. Lau	2863				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 August 2005.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ Thi	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 15,19 and 24-35 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 15,19,24 and 25 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 26-28 and 31-33 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 29-30 and 34-35 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in the contract of the contract o	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 26, 27, 28, 31, 32, and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gras et al. (U.S. Patent 4,787,213).

Regarding claim 26:

Gras discloses a method of monitoring refrigeration equipment having a compressor, a con-denser an evaporator and a refrigeration chamber (abstract) comprising: (a) continuously sensing the values of selected operating parameters of the refrigeration equipment (fig. 2); and (b) performing equipment checks on the sensed values to identify existing or incipient problems with the refrigeration equipment (fig. 2, unit 100-105); wherein: the sensed values of at least one of the operating parameters are periodically sampled and recorded repeatedly in a computer database to produce a time series of the recent history of said operating parameter and at least one of the equipment checks is performed using the stored time series of sensed values of operating parameters (fig. 2, unit 100-105).

Regarding claim 31:

Art Unit: 2863

Gras discloses apparatus to monitor refrigeration equipment having a compressor, a condenser, an evaporator and a refrigeration chamber (abstract)comprising: (a) sensors for sensing the values of selected operating parameters of the refrigeration equipment (fig. 2); and (b) a processor for performing equipment checks on the sensed values to identify existing or incipient problems with the refrigeration equipment (abstract); wherein: the sensed values of at least one of the operating parameters are periodically sampled and recorded repeatedly, in a computer database to produce a time series of the recent history of said operating parameter and at least one of the equipment checks is performed using the stored time series of sensed values of operating parameters (fig. 2, 3).

Regarding claims 27, 32, Gras further discloses long compressor off cycle (Col. 2-3, Lines 28-5); Regarding claim 28, 33, Gras further discloses threshold value of the time series of the recent history operating parameter (fig. 2,3).

Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claims 15, 19, 24 and 25 are allowed.

Reasons for Allowance

3. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Independent claims 15, 19, 24 contain allowable subject matter. None of the prior art of record shows or fairly suggests the claimed invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/719,676

Art Unit: 2863

Regarding claims 15, 19, 24:

Please refer to office action date 05/16/2005.

Claim 25 is allowed due to their dependency on claim 24.

Claims 29-30 and 34-35 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all the limitation of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: prior art fail to teach: regarding to claims 29 and 34 at least some of power outage alert; power restored warning; high voltage warning; low voltage warning; no refrigerant pressure alert;

low cut-out pressure warning; high cut-in pressure warning; high condenser pressure warning; high condenser pressure alert;

Claim 30 is objected due to their dependency on claim 29.

Claim 35 is objected due to their dependency on claim 34.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Application/Control Number: 10/719,676

Art Unit: 2863

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Page 5

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments with respect to the newly added claims have been considered but they are not persuasive.

A. Applicant argues in the arguments that the prior art does not show the 'historical record of equipment performance'. Gras clearly discloses 'historical record of equipment performance' in fig. 2, and 3.

The examiner reminds to the applicants that during patent examination, the pending claims must be "given the broadest reasonable interpretation consistent with the specification." Applicant always has the opportunity to amend the claims during prosecution, and broad interpretation by the examiner reduces the possibility that the claim, once issued, will be interpreted more broadly than is justified. In re Prater, 415 F.2d 1393, 1404-05, 162 USPQ 541, 550-51 (CCPA 1969). While the meaning of claims of issued patents are interpreted in light of the specification, prosecution history, prior art and other claims, this is not the mode of claim interpretation to be applied during examination. During examination, the claims must be interpreted as broadly as their terms reasonably allowed. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification,

Art Unit: 2863

limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tung S Lau whose telephone number is 571-272-2274. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5:30. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Barlow can be reached on 571-272-2269. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/719,676

Art Unit: 2863

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TL

MICHAEL NGHIEM PRIMARY EXAMINER